DATE: 11/16/99 AGENDA ITEM #_____ () APPROVED () DENIED () CONTINUED

TO: JAMES L. APP, CITY MANAGER

FROM: BOB LATA, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR

SUBJECT: APPOINTMENT OF AD HOC COMMITTEE FOR HIGHWAY 46 CORRIDOR STUDY

DATE: NOVEMBER 16, 1999

Needs: For the City Council to consider recommending a list of persons who should be invited to participate as representatives to an ad hoc committee working on the Highway 46 East Corridor Study.

1. The San Luis Obispo Council of Governments is funding a Corridor Study for Highway 46 East between Highway 101 and Jardine Road.

2. The purpose of the study is to help determine if this segment should be an Expressway or a Freeway. These two options (and related considerations for frontage roads and/or alternative points of access) have implications for both the property owners and the City of Paso Robles.

3. Public participation has been identified as an important component of the Corridor Study. Formation of an "ad hoc committee" of interested members of the public is proposed as a means to facilitate this involvement.

- 4. Both the City of Paso Robles and the County of San Luis Obispo are being requested to recommend persons to be invited to be part of the ad hoc committee.
- 5. The first meeting of the ad hoc committee is being tentatively scheduled for 6:30 PM on Thursday, January 13, 2000. (A public workshop to receive input on the study is being scheduled for 7:30 PM on the same evening.)

Analysis and Conclusion:

Facts:

In order to have adequate time to invite potential ad hoc committee members, give them notice of the first ad hoc committee meeting, and to provide them with advance information about the Highway 46 East Corridor Study, it would be helpful to have a list as soon as possible.

	commit A good howeve	s no established maximum number of persons for the ad hoc committee, but a tee of twenty (20) persons would seem a reasonable figure for discussion purposes. I representation from the potentially impacted property owners would seem, r, to be critical (particularly from the property owners who have the potential to ose access and/or be impacted by possible interchange improvements).
	Attached is a list of possible invitees, including persons both within the City and in the County unincorporated area. For the purposes of invitations, it is suggested that the City Council may wish to identify a total of twenty (20) persons who should be given priority for participation on the ad hoc committee. (It should be noted that any persons who are not on the ad hoc committee would still be invited to actively participate in the public workshops on the Highway 46 East Corridor Study).	
Policy Reference:	Plans for properties along the Highway 46 East corridor	
Fiscal		
Impact:	None directly related to the ad hoc committee; potentially significant impacts upon public and private property interests, depending upon the outcome of the study	
Options:	a.	That the City Council consider the attached list of persons who might be considered for the Highway 46 East Corridor Study ad hoc committee, identify any additional persons who should be included on the list, and select a total of twenty (20) persons to be invited to participate on the ad hoc committee.
	Ъ.	Amend, modify or reject the foregoing option.



<u>Highway 46 East Corridor Study</u> <u>Potential Ad Hoc Committee Members</u>

- City Council representative(s) up to two (2) Council members
- Cuesta College / Mike Hargett
- Dale Gomer
- David Weyrich
- Eagle Energy
- Ernie Smith
- Frank Arciero (re the NE corner of BV & 46 E)
- Gary Eberle
- Herman Schwartz (representing Vintners & Growers Association)
- Ken Hunter / Mike McGinnis
- Ken Mundee
- Mary Chambers
- Matt Masia
- Newlin Hastings
- Pat Mackie
- Planning Commission representatives (1 or more)
- Robert Hall Winery (the new one under construction near Mill Road)
- Sally (Salisbury) Davis
- Sheree Davis, Chamber of Commerce
- Dick Willhoit

